

PH

14153-35
JLB

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

WILMER CATALAN-RAMIREZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 1:17-cv-03258

RICARDO WONG, Field Office Director,
Chicago, U.S. Immigration and Customs
Enforcement ("ICE"); ICE AGENT JOHN
DOES 1-6; MCHENRY COUNTY,
MCHENRY COUNTY SHERIFF BILL PRIM,
CHIEF OF CORRECTIONS DAVID
DEVANE, CORRECT CARE SOLUTIONS,
HEALTH ADMINISTRATOR MIKE
KEEGAN, SUPERINTENDENT EDDIE
JOHNSON, COMMANDER CHRISTOPHER
KENNEDY, COMMANDER ALFRED
NAGODE, CHICAGO POLICE OFFICER
JOHN DOES 1-2, and CITY OF CHICAGO,

Defendants.

AGREED QUALIFIED HIPAA PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1), the Court finds good cause for the issuance of an Agreed Qualified HIPAA Protective Order and ORDERS as follows:

1. The parties and their attorneys are hereby authorized to receive, subpoena and transmit "protected health information," including medical, rehabilitation, pharmacy and mental health records, pertaining to Plaintiff WILMER CATALAN-RAMIREZ to the extent and subject to the conditions outlined herein.

14153-35
JLB

2. For the purposes of this qualified protective order, “protected health information” shall have the same scope and definition as set forth in 45 C.F.R. § 160.103 and 164.501. Protected health information includes, but is not limited to, health information, including demographic information, relating to either (a) the past, present, or future physical or mental condition of an individual, (b) the provision of care to an individual, or (c) the payment for care provided to an individual, which identifies the individual or which reasonably could be expected to identify the individual.

3. All “covered entities” (as defined by 45 C.F.R. § 160.13) are hereby authorized to disclose protected health information pertaining to Plaintiff to attorneys representing Plaintiff and Defendants in the above-captioned litigation.

4. The parties and their attorneys shall be permitted to use or disclose the protected health information of Plaintiff for purposes of prosecuting or defending this action including any appeals of this case. This includes, but is not necessarily limited to, disclosure to their attorneys, experts, consultants, court personnel, court reporters, copy services, trial consultants, and other entities or persons involved in the litigation process.

5. Prior to disclosing Plaintiff’s protected health information to persons involved in this litigation, counsel shall inform each such person that Plaintiff’s protected health information may not be used or disclosed for any purpose other than this litigation. Counsel shall take all other reasonable steps to ensure that persons receiving Plaintiff’s protected health information do not use or disclose such information for any purpose other than this litigation.

6. Within 45 days after the conclusion of the litigation including appeals, the parties, their attorneys, and any person or entity in possession of protected health information received from counsel pursuant to paragraph four of this Order, shall return Plaintiff’s protected health information to the covered entity or destroy any and all copies of protected health information pertaining to Plaintiff,

14153-35
JLB

except that counsel are not required to secure the return or destruction of protected health information submitted to the court.

7. This Order does not control or limit the use of protected health information pertaining to Plaintiff that comes into the possession of the parties or their attorneys from a source other than a "covered entity," as that term is defined in 45 C.F.R. § 160.103.

8. Nothing in this Order authorizes counsel for Defendants to obtain medical records or information through means other than formal discovery requests, subpoenas, depositions, pursuant to a patient authorization, or other lawful process, except with respect to the medical and mental health providers obtaining treatment records through signed authorizations by Plaintiff for continuity of care purposes.

Entered this 4 day of May, 2017


JUDGE JOAN H. LEFKOW